1 LAW OFFICES OF BRETT MURDOCK Brett M. Murdock (SBN 281816) brett@murdocklaw.com 711 E Imperial Hwy, Suite 201 3 Brea, CA 92821-5601 Telephone: (714) 582-2217 4 5 6 Attorneys for Real Party in Interest Tammy Kim 7 8 SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA 9 COUNTY OF ORANGE, NORTH JUSTICE CENTER 10 11 RON SCOLESDANG, an individual, Case No. 30-2025-01456473-CU-WM-NJC 12 Petitioner, Assigned for All Purposes to: 13 Hon. Craig Griffin Department N17 14 CARL PETERSEN, City of Irvine City Clerk; REAL PARTY IN INTEREST TAMMY 15 BOB PAGE, Orange County Registrar of KIM'S EVIDENTIARY OBJECTIONS IN Voters, SUPPORT OF OPPOSITION TO 16 PETITION FOR WRIT OF MANDATE Respondents. 17 Opposition to Petition; Declaration of Tammy Kim, filed concurrently herewith 18 TAMMY KIM and DOES I-X, Date: February 6, 2025 19 Real Parties in Interest. Time: 2:00 p.m. Dept.: N17 20 Action Filed: January 27, 2025 21 Trial Date: None Set 22 Real Party in Interest Tammy Kim objects to the following evidence submitted in support of 23 Petitioner's petition for writ of mandate: 24 25 **EVIDENCE BASIS OF OBJECTION** 26 **Verified Petition** ¶ 10. "This [Real Party's voter registration at Legal Conclusion 27 44 Willowrun] is false and fraudulent. 28

EVIDENTIARY OBJECTIONS IN SUPPORT OF OPPOSITION TO PETITION FOR WRIT OF MANDATE

1		The statement is not a statement of fact, but a
2		legal conclusion.
3		
4	¶ 11. "In fact, KIM resides in a residence	Improper Opinion on Ultimate Issue
5	located at 136 Cartier Aisle in Irvine,	The statement is a non-expert opinion on the
6	California which is in Irvine City Council	ultimate issue (the residency of Real Party in
7	District 3."	Interest Tammy Kim).
8		
9	¶ 12. "In addition to actually living at this	Hearsay
10	residence in District 3, KIM has claimed this	The statement recites an out-of-court statement
11	residence as her "homestead," which is a legal	offered by a third-party declarant.
12	designation "designed to protect the sanctity of	
13	the family home against a loss caused by a	
14	forced sale by creditors."	
15		
16	¶ 17: "falsely" and "In reality, this address is	Hearsay
17	a merely a room rented by KIM in furtherance	The statement recites an out-of-court statement
18	of an unpersuasive (and likely illegal) attempt	offered by a third-party declarant.
19	to gain residency in Council District 5 purely	
20	for the purpose of seeking elective office.	Lack of Foundation
21		The statement does not identify the basis of
22		how the declarant was informed.
23		
24		Improper Opinion
25		The Statement is simply the declarant's
26		opinion.
27		
28		

- 1		
1	¶ 18. "KIM has lived at 136 Cartier Aisle since	Irrelevant.
2	she purchased the condominium in December	Real Party's residence prior to registering to
3	of 2015 and registered at a different address	vote at 44 Willowrun, Irvine, CA, is irrelevant
4	(19 Alaris Aisle in Irvine's 5th Council	to the current action. Petitioner bears the
5	District) in May of 2024 AND voted in the	burden of proving that Real Party does not
6	November election from that address.	reside at her current registered address.
7		
8	¶ 19. "On December 23, 2024, KIM obtained	Irrelevant.
9	nomination papers from the Irvine City Clerk	Real Party's residence prior to registering to
10	to run in the District 5 Special Election and it	vote at 44 Willowrun, Irvine, CA, is irrelevant
11	is alleged that she used the 19 Alaris Aisle	to the current action. Petitioner bears the
12	address."	burden of proving that Real Party does not
13		reside at her current registered address.
14		
15	¶ 19. "After an investigator made contact with	Hearsay
16	the tenant, believed to be a Board member	The statement recites an out-of-court statement
17	from KIM's Non-profit Korean American	offered by a third-party declarant.
17 18	Center, and determined that she had never	offered by a third-party declarant.
	•	
18	Center, and determined that she had never resided there, she is alleged to have heard that	
18 19	Center, and determined that she had never resided there, she is alleged to have heard that her fake address had been found out and	Irrelevant
18 19 20	Center, and determined that she had never resided there, she is alleged to have heard that her fake address had been found out and	Irrelevant Real Party's residence prior to registering to
18 19 20 21	Center, and determined that she had never resided there, she is alleged to have heard that her fake address had been found out and responded to a Craigslist ad for a room for rent	Irrelevant Real Party's residence prior to registering to vote at 44 Willowrun, Irvine, CA, is irrelevant
18 19 20 21 22	Center, and determined that she had never resided there, she is alleged to have heard that her fake address had been found out and responded to a Craigslist ad for a room for rent	Irrelevant Real Party's residence prior to registering to vote at 44 Willowrun, Irvine, CA, is irrelevant to the current action. Petitioner bears the
18 19 20 21 22 23	Center, and determined that she had never resided there, she is alleged to have heard that her fake address had been found out and responded to a Craigslist ad for a room for rent	Irrelevant Real Party's residence prior to registering to vote at 44 Willowrun, Irvine, CA, is irrelevant to the current action. Petitioner bears the burden of proving that Real Party does not
18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Center, and determined that she had never resided there, she is alleged to have heard that her fake address had been found out and responded to a Craigslist ad for a room for rent	Irrelevant Real Party's residence prior to registering to vote at 44 Willowrun, Irvine, CA, is irrelevant to the current action. Petitioner bears the burden of proving that Real Party does not reside at her current registered address.
18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	Center, and determined that she had never resided there, she is alleged to have heard that her fake address had been found out and responded to a Craigslist ad for a room for rent at 44 Willowrun.	Irrelevant Real Party's residence prior to registering to vote at 44 Willowrun, Irvine, CA, is irrelevant to the current action. Petitioner bears the burden of proving that Real Party does not reside at her current registered address.

1	address, evidence that this continues to be her	The statement recites an out-of-court statement
2	domicile as defined by the code and caselaw."	offered by a third-party declarant.
3	¶ 26. "Because KIM actually resides in a	Hearsay
4	residence located at 136 Cartier Aisle in Irvine,	The statement recites an out-of-court statement
5	which is in Irvine City Council District 3, she	offered by a third-party declarant.
6	is not eligible to seek election to City Council	
7	District 5."	Lack of Foundation
8		The statement does not identify the basis of
9		how the declarant was informed.
10		
11		Improper Opinion
12		The Statement is simply the declarant's
13		opinion.
14		
15	Declaration of Mark Matthews	
15 16	Declaration of Mark Matthews ¶ 4: "At the time I was retained, I was	Hearsay
		•
16	¶ 4: "At the time I was retained, I was	The statement recites an out-of-court statement
16 17	¶ 4: "At the time I was retained, I was informed that Ms. Kim was possibly using a	The statement recites an out-of-court statement
16 17 18	¶ 4: "At the time I was retained, I was informed that Ms. Kim was possibly using a false residence address in order to register to	The statement recites an out-of-court statement offered by a third-party declarant.
16 17 18 19	¶ 4: "At the time I was retained, I was informed that Ms. Kim was possibly using a false residence address in order to register to vote and qualify to run for an Irvine City Council seat in Council District 5, when in	The statement recites an out-of-court statement offered by a third-party declarant.
16 17 18 19 20	¶ 4: "At the time I was retained, I was informed that Ms. Kim was possibly using a false residence address in order to register to vote and qualify to run for an Irvine City Council seat in Council District 5, when in	The statement recites an out-of-court statement offered by a third-party declarant. Lack of Foundation
16 17 18 19 20 21	¶ 4: "At the time I was retained, I was informed that Ms. Kim was possibly using a false residence address in order to register to vote and qualify to run for an Irvine City Council seat in Council District 5, when in fact, Ms. Kim actually resided at an address in	The statement recites an out-of-court statement offered by a third-party declarant. Lack of Foundation The statement does not identify the basis of
16 17 18 19 20 21 22	¶ 4: "At the time I was retained, I was informed that Ms. Kim was possibly using a false residence address in order to register to vote and qualify to run for an Irvine City Council seat in Council District 5, when in fact, Ms. Kim actually resided at an address in	The statement recites an out-of-court statement offered by a third-party declarant. Lack of Foundation The statement does not identify the basis of how the declarant was informed.
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	¶ 4: "At the time I was retained, I was informed that Ms. Kim was possibly using a false residence address in order to register to vote and qualify to run for an Irvine City Council seat in Council District 5, when in fact, Ms. Kim actually resided at an address in Council District 3."	The statement recites an out-of-court statement offered by a third-party declarant. Lack of Foundation The statement does not identify the basis of how the declarant was informed.
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	¶ 4: "At the time I was retained, I was informed that Ms. Kim was possibly using a false residence address in order to register to vote and qualify to run for an Irvine City Council seat in Council District 5, when in fact, Ms. Kim actually resided at an address in Council District 3."	The statement recites an out-of-court statement offered by a third-party declarant. Lack of Foundation The statement does not identify the basis of how the declarant was informed. Lack of Foundation The statement does not identify the basis of
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	¶ 4: "At the time I was retained, I was informed that Ms. Kim was possibly using a false residence address in order to register to vote and qualify to run for an Irvine City Council seat in Council District 5, when in fact, Ms. Kim actually resided at an address in Council District 3."	The statement recites an out-of-court statement offered by a third-party declarant. Lack of Foundation The statement does not identify the basis of how the declarant was informed. Lack of Foundation The statement does not identify the basis of

1		Improper Opinion on Ultimate Issue
2		The statement is a non-expert opinion on the
3		ultimate issue (the residency of Real Party in
4		Interest Tammy Kim).
5		
6	¶ 7. After I completed my initial report, I	Hearsay
7	learned Ms. Kim was likely attempting to use	The statement recites an out-of-court statement
8	another address in Council District 5, located	offered by a third-party declarant.
9	on Willowrun. In response to this information,	
10	I conducted an additional investigation.	Lack of Foundation
11		The statement does not identify the basis of
12		how the declarant was informed.
13		
14	¶ 8. My follow-up investigation concluded that	Hearsay
15	Ms. Kim was trying to create the mirage that	The statement recites an out-of-court statement
16	she was residing at the address on Willowrun,	offered by a third-party declarant.
17	when in reality, she was still residing at the	
18	Cartier Aisle address in Council District 3.	Lack of Foundation
19		The statement does not identify the basis of
20		how the declarant was informed.
21		
22		Improper Opinion
23		The Statement is simply the declarant's
24		opinion.
25		
26		
27		
28		

1	Exhibit A	Relevance
2		According to the Report, the purpose of
3		investigation was "[t]o determine if Tammy
4		Kim, aka Tammy Kim Shin was presently
5		residing at the address 19 Alaris Aisle, Irvine,
6		CA 92614." However, even according to
7		Petitioner's verified petition, "[f]or her voter
8		registration and her Council District 5
9		candidacy papers, KIM claims she resides at
10		44 Willowrun, Irvine, CA 92604." (Pet. ¶ 10.)
11		Accordingly, Kim's residence at 19 Alaris
12		Aisle, Irvine California is irrelevant to this
13		action.
14		
15	Ex. A at p. 2: "1037 – Investigator advised by	Hearsay
16	text that Tammy Kim was standing by her	The statement recites an out-of-court statement
17	garage door and appeared to be on her	offered by a third-party declarant.
18	cellphone. Tammy Kim described as wearing	
19	dark pants and something like a denim shirt."	Lack of Foundation
20		The statement does not identify the basis of
21		how the declarant was informed.
22		
23	Ex. A at p. 23: "0810 – Investigator contacted	Hearsay
23 24		Hearsay The statement recites an out-of-court statement
		The statement recites an out-of-court statement
24	Ju Sun Park and her teenage daughter as they	The statement recites an out-of-court statement
24 25	Ju Sun Park and her teenage daughter as they came down the stairs from #19 Alaris Aisle	The statement recites an out-of-court statement

1	advised they have lived in #19 Alaris Aisle for	
2	8 years, along with her husband, Do Hyuk	
3	Kwon, and her son. No one else lives with	
4	them and they have not and do not rent rooms	
5	to anyone; it is only their family in 19 Alaris	
6	Aisle."	
7		
8	Ex. B. at p. 1: "At approximately 3:35 pm,	Hearsay
9	Joan Beck, occupant of 42 Willowrun, Irvine,	The statement recites an out-of-court statement
10	CA (the apartment directly below 44	offered by a third-party declarant.
11	Willowrun) was contacted and advised that	
12	Dylan currently lived by himself upstairs. She	
13	said Dylan was a very nice young man and an	
14	excellent pianist and musician.	
15	Ex. B at p. 2: "At approximately 9:37 pm, 24-	Hearsay
16	1217 advised this investigator telephonically	The statement recites an out-of-court statement
17	that Tammy Kim and her son arrived at her	offered by a third-party declarant.
18	condo at 136 Cartier Aisle driving a BMW. 24-	
19	1217 advised of attempt to video Kim as she	
20	exited the BMW and entered her condo unit	
21	with her son. This investigator received a copy	
22	of the video and reviewed the video which	
23	depicted Tammy Kim and her son exit a	
24	vehicle that was parked adjacent to the 3-car	
25	parking garage and then walk."	
26		
27		

28

1	to 136 Cartier Aisle. 24-1217 observed Tammy	
2	Kim and her son enter 136 Cartier Aisle and	
3	saw interior lights turn on.	
4		
5		
6		
7	DATED: February 5, 2025	LAW OFFICES OF BRETT MURDOCK
8	3111221 1 611441 5, 2025	Entry offices of Brant Morae on
9		
10		By: Brett M. Murdock
11		Attorneys for Real Party in Interest Tammy Kim
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21 22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
-		

- 1			
1	PROOF OF SERVICE		
2	I am employed in the County of Orange, State of California. I am over the age of 18 and not a party to the within action. My business address is 711 E. Imperial Highway, Suite 201, Brea, CA 92821.		
3	CA 92821.		
4	On February 5, 2025, I served the foregoing document(s): REAL PARTY IN INTEREST TAMMY KIM'S EVIDENTIARY OBJECTIONS IN		
5	SUPPORT OF OPPOSITION TO PETITION FOR WRIT OF MANDATE; REAL PARTY IN INTEREST TAMMY KIM'S BRIEF IN OPPOSITION TO PETITION FOR WRIT OF		
6	MANDATE; DECLARATION OF TAMMY KIM		
7	described as on all interested parties in this action. as stated below:		
8	SEE ATTACHED SERVICE LIST		
9	BY MAIL - I deposited such envelope in the mail at Brea, California. The envelope was		
10	mailed with postage thereon fully prepaid. I am "readily familiar" with the firm's practice of collection and processing correspondence for mailing. Under that practice it would be		
11	deposited with the U.S. Postal Service on that same day with postage thereon fully prepaid at Brea, California in the ordinary course of business. I am aware that on motion of the		
12	party served, service is presumed invalid if postal cancellation date or postage meter date is more than one (1) day after date of deposit for mailing in affidavit.		
13			
1415	BY PERSONAL SERVICE - I caused the aforementioned documents to be delivered personally to the party(s) identified on the attached service list at locations and times indicated on the service list.		
16 17	BY ELECTRONIC TRANSMISSION - I caused a PDF version of the above document(s) to be transmitted by electronic mail to the email address(es) and party(ies) identified above from admin@murdocklaw.com .		
18 19 20 21	BY OVERNIGHT DELIVERY - I deposited such envelope for collection and delivery by Federal Express, with delivery fees paid or provided for in accordance with ordinary business practices. I am "readily familiar" with the firm's practice of collection and processing packages for overnight delivery by Federal Express service. They are deposited with a facility regularly maintained by Federal Express for receipt on the same day in the ordinary course of business.		
2223	I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the above is true and correct.		
24	Executed on February 5, 2025, at Brea, California.		
25			
26	Bul M. Patel		
27	Brandy N. Patel		
28			

PROOF OF SERVICE

1	Rebecca S. Leeds	Attorneys for Respondent Bob Page, Orange
2	Suzy Shoai Office of the Orange County Counsel 400 West Civic Center Drive, Suite 202	County Registrar of Voters
3	Santa Ana, CA 92701	
4	Rebecca.leeds@coco.ocgov.com Suzy.shoai@coco.ocgov.com	
5	Brian T. Hildreth	Attorneys for Petitioner Ron Scolesdang
6	Katherine C. Jenkins Bell, McAndrews & Hiltachk, LLP	
7	455 Capitol Mall, Suite 600 Sacramento, CA 95814	
8	bhildreth@bmhlaw.com	
9	kcjenkins@bmhlaw.com	
10	Jennifer Farrell	Attorney for Respondent Carl Petersen, City of
11	Rutan & Tucker, LLP	Irvine City Clerk
12	18575 Jamboree Road, 9 th Floor Irvine, CA 92612	
13	jfarrell@rutan.com	
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
20		
		-2-

PROOF OF SERVICE